

**SAN JOSÉ STATE UNIVERSITY  
ONE WASHINGTON SQUARE SAN  
JOSÉ, CA 95192**

**Amendment B to University Policy F17-3, Selection and Review  
of Department Chairs and Directors**

**Legislative History:**

On December 8, 2025, the Academic Senate approved Amendment B to University Policy F17-3, Selection and Review of Department Chairs and Directors, presented by Senator Riley for the Professional Standards Committee.

**Action by University President:**

**Date:** January 28, 2026

**Signed and approved by:** Cynthia Teniente-Matson

**Cynthia Teniente-Matson, President,  
San José State University**

**Amendment B to University Policy F17-3, Selection and  
Review of Department Chairs and Directors**

**Rationale:**

At the February 2024 Senate meeting, during the debate on Amendment A to F17-3, Selection and Review of Department Chairs and Directors, Senator Sabrina Pinnell proposed an amendment from the floor to add "Lecturer faculty" to the first part of Section 3.11. After discussion, Senator Del Casino moved to refer the Pinnell Amendment back to the Professional Standards Committee; that motion was seconded and approved.

Currently, Section 3.8.3 of F17-3 states that Lecturer faculty have a proportional vote in chair nomination elections, but Section 3.11 makes clear that only the votes of the tenured and tenure-line faculty determine the Nominee for Department Chair and the votes of the tenure line and lecturer faculty are recorded and forwarded separately in two groups.

The proposed Amendment B integrates Senior Lecturer faculty as defined in S21-2, University Policy, Appointment, Evaluation, And Range Elevation for Lecturer Faculty, into Sections 3.8.1 and 3.11 so that their vote helps determine the Nominee for Department Chair and so that their recommendation is recorded and forwarded as its own category. PS believes that the Senior Lecturer vote must be recorded distinctly from the tenure line faculty as that information is crucial in determining the chair or director. The Senior Lecturer vote should no longer be included with the vote of other Lecturer faculty if it is going to have any weight, which is the primary purpose of this proposed Amendment. This is the reason for now having three groups rather than two at the end of Section 3.11. All other Lecturer faculty will continue to vote proportionally, as they do currently, and their vote will be tallied and forwarded to the President, as is currently the practice.

For the last few years, SJSU has engaged in a concerted effort to improve shared governance on campus and to include more voices in the work. For example, the Academic Senate recently modified its Bylaws to include Staff Senator seats, and as of 2025-26, Professional Standards has two new Faculty Senators at Large, one of whom is, for the first time, a Senior Lecturer. This is something to be proud of as an institution and speaks volumes about who we are and what we value. Indeed, the title of Senior Lecturer was established by Professional Standards in 2021 as part of its work on shared governance and inclusion. The Committee feels strongly that integrating Senior Lecturer faculty into Sections 3.8.1 and 3.11 is not only the right thing to do but also that it will significantly further this important work on campus.

The proposed Amendment also integrates Senior Lecturer faculty into the review process described in Section 7.3. Reference to PRTB and FERP faculty has been removed from Sections 3.8.2 and 3.8.3 because they are considered tenured faculty employees per CBA Articles 29.19 and 30.1 and are thus included in Section 3.8.1.

Amendment B also incorporates ranked choice voting into the nomination election process in Sections 3.9 and 3.10.1 in order to better determine the candidate with the strongest support, eliminate the need for multiple elections, and perhaps encourage more candidates to run. The proposed amendment further clarifies language, corrects editorial issues with formatting and footnotes, and adds additional relevant footnotes.

Resolved: That F17-3, Selection and Review of Department Chairs and Directors, be modified as follows.

Date Approved: October 20, 2025

Vote: 11-0-0

Present: Sen, Chen, McNiece, Chierichetti, Attar, Riley, French, Raman, Stemwedel, Buyco, and Agustin

Absent: Barrera

Financial Impact: None anticipated  
Workload Impact: None anticipated

## **University Policy**

### **F17-3, University Policy, Selection and Review of Department Chairs and Directors**

#### **Legislative History:**

On December 11, 2017, the Academic Senate approved the following policy recommendation presented by Senator Peter for the Professional Standards Committee. This replacement of S14-8 incorporates the voting procedures for nominating Department Chairs and Directors that were formerly only available in a separate policy. The need to consult two separate policies each time a department nominates a Chair has led to confusion and procedural errors in the past. In addition, the policy has been reformatted for easier use, and numerous corrections and clarifications have been incorporated at the suggestion of the University Council of Chairs and Directors and the Deans. Among those changes is a reordering of the policy to align chronologically with the stages of a Chair's nomination, election, evaluation, and possible removal. This policy rescinds: S14-8 and was originally approved and signed by Mary A. Papazian President, San José State University, on December 20, 2017.

Between 2017 and 2023, Professional Standards noticed that an increasing tendency of Department Chair Review Committees to use surveys administered by the Office of Institutional Research and Strategic Analytics led to compression of the review schedule, in some cases resulting in reviews that were not completed before the end of the current Chair's term. In consultation with the University Council of Chairs and Directors and the Deans, Professional Standards determined that the timely completion of the Chair's review is important both for a Chair's decision about whether to seek an additional term, and timely review of the current Chair is also important for department faculty when considering the candidates for nomination to Department Chair. In consultation with the Office of Institutional Research and Strategic Analytics, as well as the UCCD and Deans, Amendment A expanded and more explicitly defined the timeline for review of Department Chairs and nomination elections. In addition, numerous clarifications were made, including more explicit references to applicable sections of the CSU/CFA Collective Bargaining Agreement. Following feedback from a first reading in December 2023, Professional Standards further clarified the timeline for election procedures to ensure that candidates for nomination will be identified before the formation of the College Election Committee, which must exclude any candidates for nomination. Language was also added to a new section, § 1.2.5, to define the "semester" as Spring and Fall terms. Amendment A was signed and approved by President Cynthia Teniente-Matson on March 18, 2024.

# **F17-3, University Policy, Selection and Review of Department Chairs and Directors**

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1. **Guiding Principle.** Department Chairs are the leaders of communities of faculty and the most important stewards of the mission of the University at the local level. Their effectiveness depends upon the continual support of the faculty they represent. The selection of a nominee for Department Chair is, therefore, one of the most important collective decisions of a Department's faculty. This policy is designed so that Chairs are chosen and reviewed in a manner that ensures their continual legitimacy and effectiveness as they carry out the numerous functions assigned to them by University policies and the Collective Bargaining Agreement.<sup>1</sup>

## **1.2. Definitions**

- 1.2.1. Throughout this policy, the term "Chair" refers both to Chairs of Departments and Directors of Schools, while the term "Department" refers both to Departments and Schools.
- 1.2.2. Departments elect a "nominee" to be Department Chair; the President appoints a nominee to become Chair. Hence, Departments conduct a nomination process with the outcome of choosing a "Chair nominee" and these are called "Nomination Elections."
- 1.2.3. The terms "Professor" and "Associate Professor" are also understood to include the equivalent titles in faculty disciplines that use alternative names, such as Librarians and Counselors.
- 1.2.4. This policy uses the generic term "Chair" to refer collectively to all categories of Chairs regardless of the manner of nomination and appointment. When there is a need for greater differentiation, the policy will refer to "acting Chair" and "interim Chair" as defined later in the policy, and "regularly appointed Chair" to refer to a Chair who has been nominated by the Department and appointed by the President for

---

<sup>1</sup> See also Article 20.31 of the current CFA/CSU Agreement, which states "[...] department chairs shall perform duties and carry out responsibilities assigned by the President."

the standard four-year term.

1.2.5. Throughout this policy, the term “semester” shall be defined as Spring and Fall terms.

## 2. QUALIFICATIONS

Chairs should preferably be Professors but may be Associates, and should have earned rank and tenure prior to the time their appointment to Chair becomes effective.<sup>2</sup> Exceptions should only be made in rare instances and for compelling reasons.

## 3. DEPARTMENT NOMINATION ELECTION

Every four years, the department faculty shall identify a nominee for Department Chair by secret ballot vote following these procedures. These are also the procedures for departments to recommend candidates for the role of Acting Chair (in § 10, below).

3.1. The Chair’s job description should be developed by the Dean in consultation with the Department, and include the fraction of assigned time to be provided to the Chair.

3.2. Charging the Department. Deans and departments should communicate about the nomination process as early as possible. The Dean should attend a Department meeting at the beginning of the nomination process (no later than the tenth week of the current Chair’s final full semester) to present this policy, the Chair’s job description and fraction of assigned time, and to explain the process for nominating a Chair.

If, following the charge, the Department proceeds immediately to a department meeting per § 3.3 below, then all persons who are not members of the Department should depart at that time, unless specifically invited to remain by a majority vote of the faculty present.

3.3. Department Meeting. A meeting shall be held to begin the nomination election process for a Department Chair. The department may determine the nature and medium of the meeting according to its own preferences, but the meeting must be open to all faculty in the department and publicized a minimum of one week in advance.

---

<sup>2</sup> See CFA/CSU Agreement Article 20.30: “Department Chairs shall normally be selected from the list of tenured or probationary faculty employees recommended by the department for the assignment.”

- 3.4. College Election Committee. The College will create a College Election Committee that will consist of three individuals: 1) The Dean or the Dean's designee, 2) a member of the College RTP committee (chosen by the committee from a department other than the one holding the nomination election), and 3) one tenured faculty member from the department (chosen by the department tenured and tenure track faculty) who will be excluded from candidacy for nomination to be Department Chair. In departments with three or fewer tenured faculty members, the department may choose a faculty member from another department within the College to be the third member of their College Election Committee.
- 3.5. Responsibilities of the College Election Committee. The College Election Committee (1) shall inform the department of this policy's requirements, (2) shall count and certify the department's votes, (3) deliver the results of the department's voting to the President and to the Department in all appropriate formats, and (4) shall (with the assistance of Faculty Services) interpret and explain this policy to the department if any questions arise after the results are distributed.
- 3.6. Decision to Seek Permission for an External Search. The department may decide at this stage, through normal voting procedures, to seek permission to search for an external Chair (per § 4.1 below) instead of proceeding immediately with a normal nomination election. If permission is denied, the department should proceed with the normal process to nominate a Department Chair.
- 3.7. Preparing the Ballot. Faculty may suggest names of any tenured or tenure-track faculty member<sup>3</sup> to appear on the ballot for the nomination election. All nominated persons must accept or decline their nomination. All candidates will be given the opportunity to make statements and answer questions from department faculty.
- 3.8. Voting Rights for Chair Nomination Elections.
  - 3.8.1. The vote of tenured and tenure-track faculty and Senior Lecturer faculty (Lecturer faculty with three(3)-year appointments pursuant to provisions

---

<sup>3</sup> Ibid.

12.12 and 12.13 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement) will count in proportion to their current time base entitlement per S17-6, Departmental Voting Rights.<sup>4</sup> Tenured and tenure-track faculty members vote in the department to which they are permanently assigned, but not in a department to which they are temporarily assigned. Tenured and tenure-track faculty holding joint appointments shall vote only in the department that holds the majority of their permanent assignment or, if equal, in the department that is responsible for their tenure. Tenured and tenure-track faculty members on approved leave retain their voting rights. The vote of Lecturer faculty not on a three-year appointment pursuant to sections 12.12 and 12.13 of the CBA will count in proportion to their current time base entitlement per S17-6, Departmental Voting Rights.

- 3.8.2. Faculty suspended under Article 17 (Temporary Suspension) of the CBA retain their voting rights.
- 3.8.3. Voting rights of any faculty member are suspended for any semester in which the individual holds a full-time administrative (i.e., MPP) or other full-time non-faculty position within the university.
- 3.8.4. Faculty on reassigned time engaged in administrative duties remain Unit 3 faculty and retain their voting rights.
- 3.8.5. Visiting Professors or Interim or Acting Chairs from outside the department do not vote in a Chair nomination election.
- 3.8.6. Qualified faculty on approved leaves should be provided a means to vote in a Chair nomination election. However, no faculty member may grant their vote by proxy or other assignment to another individual.

3.9. The Nomination Election. Faculty must vote by secret ballot on all candidates proposed and willing to serve. Secret balloting must be available for a minimum of 5 working days and provide the opportunity for individuals to abstain.

- 3.9.1. If there is only one candidate, secret balloting must still occur, with options to “recommend” or “not recommend” the candidate.

---

<sup>4</sup> For the primary definition of Senior Lecturer, see the final bullet point in section B.2 of Appendix B, S21-2, University Policy, Appointment, Evaluation, And Range Elevation for Lecturer Faculty.

- 3.9.2. If there are two or more candidates, secret balloting will be conducted by ranked choice (sometimes called instant runoff voting). The secret ballot will list all candidates for ranking, as well as the option, “none of the above.” Voting faculty rank the choices by assigning a number in order of preference for *all* options on the ballot, including “none of the above.”
  - 3.9.3. If ~~an~~ a ranked choice nomination election fails to produce a majority for any one candidate, the situation will be resolved via § 6 “Failure to Obtain...”
- 3.10. Preparing to Count the Votes. The College Election Committee will meet to count votes. The candidates will be notified of the time and place of the count at least one business day in advance, and each may send one observer (a person other than themselves). Any candidate can request an in-person vote count. The committee is responsible for an accurate count and review of all submitted ballots. The committee must assure that the balloting was secret, that all votes are entered in the correct category, and that proper proportions are applied. The results shall be certified (signed) by each member of the college election committee.
- 3.10.1. Counting the Votes. Initially, the College Election Committee tallies each ballot's "first choice" candidate. If no one has a majority, the vote tally goes to a second round. Whichever candidate had the fewest first-choice votes is removed from the tally, and all first-choice ballots for that candidate are transferred to the indicated second choices. This process is repeated until one of the remaining candidates has a majority. All voting data will be preserved and provided to the President along with the recommendation.
- 3.11. Forwarding the Results of the Nomination Election. Only the name of a candidate who receives a majority of votes cast by the tenured and probationary faculty and Senior Lecturer faculty shall be recommended to the President via the College Dean as the nominee of the department. The names of candidates who were not recommended by the department, together with all vote totals, shall also be forwarded to the President to provide context for the recommendation. This shall include a statement of all votes, broken down into three groups—votes by tenured/tenure track faculty, votes by Senior Lecturer faculty, and votes by all other Lecturers—including the actual number of votes cast in each category.

3.12. **Distributing the Results.** The election results shall also be distributed to the department's faculty. If the final vote total in any group of votes as described in § 3.10 contains a fraction, it shall be rounded up to preserve anonymity.

#### 4. EXTERNAL SEARCHES

4.1. **Request for an External Search.** Department faculty may request an external search for Department Chair. An external search is a search in which candidates from outside San José State University are invited to apply to be hired as a tenured faculty member and as department Chair. Any Department request for an external search must be supported by a majority vote of the department's eligible-to-vote faculty (following the procedures for department voting rights as outlined in University Policy S17-6, Department Voting Rights). Such requests are not automatically granted.

4.2. **Procedures for an External Search.** Successful completion of an external search for a Department Chair requires coordination of two separate tasks: (1) the appointment of a new faculty member in accordance with the appointment policy and (2) the recommendation to the President of a Chair nominee in accordance with this policy. To expedite the successful conclusion of such a search, departments may combine procedures that are common to both processes as outlined below. Departments should determine which of these three alternatives they will use by majority vote (following the normal procedures for department voting rights), and they must do so prior to the start of a search. Whichever method the department adopts, the recruitment committee must conform to the normal requirements of the appointments policy.

4.2.1. Departments may designate all tenured and tenure-track faculty as the recruitment committee so that the appointment recommendation and the nomination recommendation are coterminous. When this method is chosen, the recruitment committee must provide all Lecturer faculty with the opportunity to provide confidential feedback on the search prior to final recommendations. A department may only use this method when there are more tenured faculty than probationary faculty. If it chooses this method, the normal prohibition of faculty serving on a personnel

committee evaluating faculty of higher rank is suspended.

- 4.2.2. Departments may use separate processes for the appointment and for the nomination functions associated with an external search for a Department Chair. Using this method, a smaller recruitment committee makes a recommendation under the normal appointment policy. Then the department as a whole votes to endorse or not to endorse the recommendation of the recruitment committee. For each candidate, the department's endorsement must specify whether or not that candidate is acceptable as a Chair. If more than one candidate is acceptable, then the department must rank them in order of preference. The department's endorsement serves to nominate a candidate to be Chair, but should be accompanied by the recruitment committee's report to justify the appointment of the candidate. In the event of conflict between the recommendation of the recruitment committee and the department's endorsement of that recommendation, the department makes the final Chair recommendation, but may only select a nominee from among those candidates deemed to be acceptable finalists by the recruitment committee. When this method is chosen by a department, time must be allowed for these procedures to take place at the conclusion of the external search.
- 4.2.3. Departments may choose to delegate their right to nominate a Chair exclusively to their recruitment committee.

- 4.3. In conformity with S15-6, University Policy, Appointment of Regular Faculty Employees, an external nominee for Chair shall be reviewed and must receive a favorable recommendation for tenure from the appropriate personnel committee of the department before the appointment can be completed.

## 5. APPOINTMENT

- 5.1. The President appoints and removes the Department Chair in consultation with the Provost, College Dean, and department faculty. The term of the Department Chair appointment is normally four years.

- 5.2. When a department follows the procedures of this policy to successfully elect a Chair Nominee, the President shall—except in rare instances and for compelling reasons—appoint that individual to serve as Department Chair.
- 5.3. Administrative details concerning the appointment of a Chair (appointment letters, salary adjustments, etc.) will be coordinated by the Office of the Provost.

6. FAILURE TO OBTAIN CHAIR NOMINEES AS DESCRIBED IN SECTIONS: 3, Nominations; 8, Reappointment; and 10, Acting

Departments may be unable to successfully conclude a normal nomination election for Department Chair. This could be the case in a department with no senior leadership qualified to be Chair, or no willing candidates. If a department fails to reach consensus (majority vote of the tenured and probationary faculty and Senior Lecturers as defined in § 3.8.3) following a normal nomination election process (see § 3), the Dean shall consult with the faculty at a department meeting to determine the best course of action. This could be (1) the nomination of an interim or acting Chair, (2) initiation of an external search, (3) extension of a prior interim appointment, or (4) the nomination of a non-departmental interim Chair per the relevant sections of this policy.

- 6.1. External Search. An external search may be requested as per § 4 of the policy, although such requests are not automatically granted.
- 6.2. Extended Interim Chairs. If there has been a failure to reach consensus, and an interim Chair is serving and was not a candidate for Chair, the interim Chair's service may be extended by six months for the department to find more permanent solutions. The extension of an interim appointment beyond one year should be avoided. If this occurs the Organization and Government Committee of the Academic Senate shall inquire into the reasons for the situation.
- 6.3. Non-departmental Interim Chairs. In extreme cases, and only when all of the aforementioned measures fail, the President may appoint an SJSU faculty member from outside the department to serve as interim Chair, after consultation with the College Dean and department faculty. External departmental interim Chairs are subject to all the normal

limits provided in § 9. Consultation with the department faculty is normally done by the Provost and Dean soliciting advice at a department meeting.

## 7. REVIEW OF DEPARTMENT CHAIRS

- 7.1. **Timing of Normal Review:** The Dean shall initiate the formal review of each Department Chair no earlier than during the sixth semester of the Chair's term, and no later than the beginning of the Chair's seventh semester in office, unless the incumbent states that they will not be a candidate to continue as Chair beyond the fourth year.
- 7.2. **Early Review:** Department faculty may initiate a formal review of the Department Chair by submitting a petition to the Dean, provided that at least one academic year has passed since the Chair's appointment or previous review. The petition shall state simply that "The undersigned faculty call for a prompt review of our Department Chair." If the petition is signed by department faculty totaling more than 50% of the eligible-to-vote department faculty per section 3.8 above, the College Dean will initiate a formal review of the Department Chair. The petition should preferably be delivered to permit the review to be completed before the end of the current semester, but an early review must be completed within 40 duty days from receipt of the department's petition. To determine if the petition exceeds the 50% threshold, all signatures of tenure/tenure track and Lecturer faculty will be counted, with the signatures of lecturers weighted according to the proportion of their appointment as described in § 3.8. The Dean will announce to the department the number of signatures and whether the petition exceeds the threshold but will keep the petition itself and the signed names confidential from the incumbent Chair.
- 7.3. **Appointment and Composition of Review Committee:** College Deans shall determine the timing of reviews of Department Chairs. Such review shall begin no earlier than during the Chair's sixth semester in office and no later than the beginning of the Chair's seventh semester in office. Under the direction of the College Dean, the tenured and tenure-track department faculty and Senior Lecturer faculty as defined in § 3.8 shall elect from their ranks a peer review committee to evaluate the Department Chair's performance. The Review Committee must be composed of a majority of tenure line faculty. Members of the review committee are excluded from being the department's nominee for Chair. In

departments with insufficient Senior Lecturer and tenured or tenure-track members to populate the review committee, the department may supplement the review committee with external faculty members. The review committee, in consultation with the College Dean, will determine the procedures and scope of the review.

- 7.4. Criteria for Review: The Review Committee, in consultation with the College Dean, shall specify the criteria for evaluating the incumbent's job performance. The principal criteria shall be derived from the job description that was provided to the Chair at the time of appointment to Chair. The incumbent shall be asked to examine the criteria developed and to make such comments or suggestions as may seem advisable.
- 7.5. Procedures for Review: The review committee, in consultation with the College Dean, shall develop procedures for conducting the review. The procedures shall be designed to secure appropriate information and appraisals of performance from as many persons as may be feasible who are knowledgeable of the incumbent's performance. If he/she/they so desires, the incumbent shall be given an opportunity to provide the review committee with a self-evaluation based upon the criteria developed by the committee. The opinions and judgments received by review committees, the deliberations and reports of such committees, and any accompanying materials, shall be confidential.

Professional Standards, in consultation with the University Council of Chairs and Directors, the Council of Deans, the Center for Faculty Excellence and Teaching Innovation, and Institutional Research and Strategic Analytics, will develop a set of guidelines that may be used by departments to help develop procedures for review.
- 7.6. Report of the Review Committee: At the conclusion of its evaluative activities, the review committee shall prepare a written report embodying its findings and conclusions. This report shall include a statement of strengths found and improvements desired in the incumbent's performance with respect to the evaluative criteria. All raw data collected for review shall accompany, but not be part of, the review committee's summary narrative. Before forwarding the final report to the College Dean, the review committee shall:

- 7.6.1. Provide a draft copy of the narrative portion of the report to the incumbent;
- 7.6.2. Provide the incumbent with an opportunity to meet with the review committee in order to discuss the report;
- 7.6.3. Provide the incumbent with the opportunity to submit to the committee a written statement which shall become part of the report to the College Dean. The review committee shall forward its final report to the College Dean no later than the end of the Chair's seventh semester in office.  
The College Dean will discuss the findings with the Department Chair no later than in the first month of the Chair's final semester and will report in general to the department faculty. On completion, the final report from the review committee, additional evaluation by the College Dean, and any response from the Department Chair will be forwarded to the Provost.

- 7.7. Confidentiality. The members of the review committee, college dean, and officers of the University shall hold in confidence data received by the review committee, its report, and accompanying materials. The members of the review committee shall sign a confidentiality statement.

## 8. REAPPOINTMENT OF A DEPARTMENT CHAIR

In order to serve one or more subsequent terms, the Department Chair must proceed through the review process and nomination election.

## 9. SELECTION OF AN INTERIM CHAIR

An interim appointment occurs when a Department Chair's position has or will be vacated and there is insufficient time or it is otherwise impractical to complete the regular nomination process explained in § I, Nominations. The interim Chair serves only as long as required to complete the appointment of a regularly appointed Chair.

- 9.1. Appointment Procedure. The President may make interim appointments after consultation with the College Dean and department faculty, normally by soliciting advice from as many faculty as possible at a department meeting called for this purpose.
- 9.2. Interim Chair Requirements. Interim appointments should normally be a member of the department in which they will serve and they should be tenured faculty members (see § 6

for exceptions).

- 9.3. Transition to a Regularly Appointed Chair. While overseeing all the complex tasks of the department, the interim Chair's ultimate responsibility is to prepare the department for an orderly transition to a regularly appointed Chair. The interim Chair should serve until a regularly appointed Chair takes office, normally before the beginning of the next academic year when taking office in the summer or Fall, or by the beginning of the following Spring semester when taking office in the Spring. If the department cannot transition to a regularly appointed Chair within one year, the situation should be resolved under § 6 (Failure to Obtain) of this policy.
- 9.4. Technical details concerning the appointment of an interim Chair (appointment letter, salary adjustments, etc.) will be coordinated by the Office of the Provost.

## 10. SELECTION OF AN ACTING CHAIR

An acting appointment occurs when a Department Chair is on a temporary absence (illness, vacation, or leave) but is expected to return within a year. If the absence is less than one month, the Dean, in consultation (if possible) with the continuing Chair may determine that there is no need for an acting Chair. Otherwise, an acting Chair is appointed and serves only until the regularly appointed Chair returns.

- 10.1. Planned Need for Acting Chair. When the short-term absence of a Chair can be anticipated, the Department should nominate an Acting Chair using the procedures outlined in § 3 (nomination election).
- 10.2. Sudden Need for Acting Chair. When there is insufficient time or it is otherwise impractical to complete the regular nomination process explained in § 3, an Acting Chair should be designated using the procedures outlined in § 9 (interim.)
- 10.3. Limit on Length of Service. An Acting Chair should not serve more than one full academic year, and possibly the summer before or after the academic year. A Chair who is absent for more than one year should be replaced.
- 10.4. Technical details concerning the appointment of an acting Chair (appointment letter, salary adjustments, etc.) will be coordinated by the Office of the Provost.

## 11. REMOVAL OF DEPARTMENT CHAIR

In rare circumstances, it may become necessary to remove a Department Chair prior to the expiration of the four-year term. There are two possible situations in which a Chair may be removed.

- 11.1. **Administrative Removal.** The administrative removal of a Chair previously recommended by the faculty of a department is a very serious matter, and should only be undertaken for compelling reasons. A Chair will be given an opportunity to meet with the Provost and Dean to defend their record prior to removal. Following removal, the President or Provost should meet with the Dean and the faculty assembled in a department meeting to announce the action and solicit advice on the transition. Replacement of the Chair should be initiated according to the procedures in §§ 3 or 9 of this policy.
- 11.2. **Faculty-Initiated Removal.** Faculty may not initiate the removal of their Chair unless a formal review has been completed within the previous six months. (They may initiate such a review as per § 7.2 of this policy.) Following the conclusion of any faculty-initiated early review, the department will vote to determine if its Chair should be removed. A removal vote will follow the same procedures as a vote to recommend a Chair nominee as described in § 3 of this policy, save only that it requires a vote of 2/3 of the tenure/tenure track faculty to forward a recommendation to the President that the Chair be removed, with the votes of lecturers also reported as per the above procedures. If removed, replacement of the Chair should be initiated according to the procedures in § 3 or 9 of this policy.